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Learning Objectives

• Describe the process of wound healing requirements for macro- and micronutrients

• Review the nutrition care process for individuals at risk and experiencing wound healing

• Learn nutrition interventions to augment wound healing
Introduction

This presentation reviews complexities associated with wound care and best practices to eliminate and overcome barriers of effective care through nutrition. The process and progress of wound healing with nutrition as a vital component is addressed, including particular nutrient requirements.
Wound Incidence/Prevalence

About 2% of the U.S. adult population has a chronic wound.

• Chronic wounds are considered:
  ➢ pressure ulcers/injuries
  ➢ lower extremity ulcers
  ➢ diabetic foot ulcers
  ➢ venous ulcers and arterial ulcers

• Prevalence is measured by the number of cases of pressure ulcers at a specific time.

• Incidence measures the number of new pressure ulcers without an ulcer at baseline

Healing Process for Wounds in Normal State

Three predictable, overlapping phases

Inflammation → Proliferation → Maturation

Non-Healing, Chronic Wounds

Wounds get stuck in the inflammatory phase

AT RISK Patients: Common Factors

- Current or past medical condition(s) (e.g., diabetes, renal disease, arterial disease)
- Immobility
- Incontinent
- Insufficient of sensory perception
- Compromised nutritional status (e.g., malnutrition, dehydration, underweight, or overweight)

Wound Healing Elements

Nutrition/hydration is seen as one of the most modifiable factors affecting wound healing

**Extrinsic**
- Mobility
- Wound bed environment
- Bacterial burden
- Soft tissue/bone infection
- Devitalized tissue
- Medications

**Intrinsic**
- Systemic disease
- Perfusion/oxygenation
- Infection process
- Nutrition/hydration
- Age
Malnutrition is a Significant Contributor to Adverse Outcomes

Malnutrition Predicts Decubitus Ulcers

Malnutrition was the 3rd predictive factor for decubitus ulcers after major surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Preexisting Condition</th>
<th>Odds Ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic complications</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chronic renal failure</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malnutrition/weight loss</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral vascular disease</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emergency admission</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prevalence of Malnutrition

**HOSPITAL ADMISSION**
- 30% to 55% of hospital patients are malnourished upon admission

**HOSPITAL STAY**
- 33% of severely malnourished patients and
- 38% of well-nourished patients experience nutritional decline

**HOSPITAL DISCHARGE**
- Many patients continue to lose weight after discharge

**HOSPITAL READMISSION**
- Patients with weight loss are at increased risk for readmission

---

Use Multidisciplinary Team to Identify 6 Characteristics of Malnutrition

- Insufficient Energy Intake
- Unintentional Weight Loss
- Subcutaneous Fat Loss
- Muscle Loss
- Fluid Accumulation
- Declining Functional Status

*Loss of Muscle Mass & Function can Now Diagnose Malnutrition, Independent of Body Weight*

NUTRIENT NEEDS
### Table 4 Strengths of recommendations ratings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendation</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Do it (Strong recommendation for using an intervention)</td>
<td>🅱️</td>
<td>Indicates a judgment that most well-informed people would make.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do not do it (Strong recommendation against using an intervention)</td>
<td>🅱️</td>
<td>Indicates a judgment that a majority of well-informed people would make, but a substantial minority would not.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probably do it (Weak recommendation for using an intervention)</td>
<td>🅱️</td>
<td>Trade-offs between risk and benefit unclear or lack of agreement between voting participants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probably do not do it (Weak recommendation against using an intervention)</td>
<td>🅱️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No specific recommendation</td>
<td>🅱️</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NPUAP Clinical Practice Guidelines for Energy Intake

“Offer fortified foods and/or high-calorie, high-protein oral nutritional supplements between meals if nutrition requirements cannot be achieved by dietary intake.”

- Strength of Evidence: B
- Strength of Recommendation: 👍👍

NPUAP Clinical Practice Guidelines for Protein Intake

“Supplement with high protein, arginine, and micronutrients for adults with a pressure ulcer category/stage 3 or 4 or multiple pressure ulcers when nutritional requirements cannot be met with traditional high-calorie and protein supplements.”

Goal for protein support for patients with pressure ulcers

- 1.25-1.5 grams of protein per kilogram of body weight per day

- **Strength of Evidence:** B
- **Strength of Recommendation:** 🔄

Carbohydrate

Glucose is the major fuel source for collagen synthesis and most efficient source of fuel compared with fat and protein.

- If insufficient CHO intake → the body breaks down protein to provide glucose for cellular activity.
  - Impaired utilization of CHO due to hyperglycemia leads to more proteolysis, glycogenolysis and lipolysis resulting in decreased wound healing
  - Hyperglycemia also leads to osmotic diuresis and loss of water and electrolytes which negatively impacts wound healing by decreasing tissue oxygenation
  - Both extracellular and intracellular dehydration occurs
Protein

Those with a protein malnutrition have a decreased immune system which places them at greater infection risk, leading to edema then, poor oxygenation of the tissue.

- The use of negative pressure wound therapy increases protein losses of an estimated 12.5 grams of protein per liter of fluid loss.

Protein Synthesis

Metabolic stress and catabolic states results in protein loss

- HMB activates of several signaling pathways that are important for protein translation
- Activation of protein translation helps to compensate for the loss of protein

Protein Degradation

- Proteolysis inducing factor (PIF) can activate the Ub-pathway.
- HMB has been shown block PIF induced activation of the Ub-pathway.

Arginine

Considered a semi-essential amino acid

- Collagen and tissue synthesis require arginine for wound strength
- Nitric oxide is a product of arginine metabolism and is a powerful vasodilator that promotes angiogenesis (blood flow)
  - Beneficial in wound healing environment:
    - Toxic to bacteria
    - Inhibits platelet aggregation
    - Immune response mediator and neurotransmitter

Studies have found that additional supplementation including arginine promotes wound healing in both nourished and malnourished patients

Glutamine

Serves as a fuel source for cells with rapid turnover such as enterocytes, epithelial cells, fibroblasts, macrophages and lymphocytes.

- Occurs in both inflammatory and proliferative phases of wound healing
- Essential for gluconeogenesis
- Demand increases during illness in the liver, kidney and GI tract
- No studies have been conducted on glutamine and wound healing for pressure ulcer patients.

  - *Neither the NPUAP nor the EPUAP recommend routine glutamine supplementation for pressure ulcers.*

Vitamin C

Antioxidant: cofactor in collagen formation and may help prevent wound infections by modulating immune function

Maintaining a balance between reactive oxygen species and anti-inflammatory substrates depends on optimal levels of vitamin C

Other studies on vitamin C do not support any positive effect on wound healing when supplemented with 1 g of vitamin C compared to those supplemented with 10 mg per day.

Vitamin E

Antioxidant

- Immune response and inflammation
- Platelet aggregation, adhesion
- Protein kinase C activation
- Lipoprotein transport
- Nucleic acid and protein metabolism
- Mitochondrial function and hormonal production

Recent studies have shown a synergistic effect on pressure ulcer healing when combined with other antioxidants

- The other antioxidants included arginine and zinc as described.

Zinc

Trace mineral with wide array of functions because of its presence as a component of several enzymes

- Co-factor for collagen and protein synthesis—important for skin integrity and mucosal membranes

Deficiency is associated with delayed wound healing

- Commonly seen in patients with diarrhea, malabsorption or hypermetabolic stress in sepsis, burns, or serious injury
- Poor zinc status can adversely affect B and T lymphocyte production, leading to delayed wound healing
- Supplementation without a deficiency has not been shown to be beneficial

NUTRITION SUPPLEMENTATION
Effect of Nutrition Supplements

**Meta-analysis**

- 4 RCTs
- showed significantly lowered incidence of pressure ulcers among elderly hospitalized patients (OR 0.75) who used ONS (2-26 weeks) compared to non-users

**In-patient setting study**

- showed reduction of a prevalence rate of 7.8% to 1.4% upon utilization of evidence based criteria for the treatment of pressure ulcers.

Assessing Changes in PU

• Pressure Ulcer Scale for Healing (PUSH)\(^1\)
• NPUAD tool to provide indications of improvement or deterioration in PU healing
• Assess size (LxW), exudate amount and tissue type

• Pressure Sore Status Tool (PSST)\(^2\)
• Includes
  • Size, depth, edges, necrotic type, necrotic amount, exudate amount, skin color, edema, induration, granulation, epithelialization,
• Uses modified Likert scale (1 - healthiest to 5 - worst attribute)

## PUSH Tool 3.0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LENGTH X WIDTH (in cm²)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>Sub-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.3</td>
<td>0.3 – 0.6</td>
<td>0.7 – 1.0</td>
<td>1.1 – 2.0</td>
<td>2.1 – 3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.1 – 4.0</td>
<td>4.1 – 8.0</td>
<td>8.1 – 12.0</td>
<td>12.1 – 24.0</td>
<td>&gt; 24.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EXUDATE AMOUNT</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>Sub-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heavy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TISSUE TYPE</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>Sub-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epithelial Tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulation Tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slough</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotic Tissue</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL SCORE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**TISSUE TYPE:**

4 – Necrotic Tissue (Eschar): black, brown or tan tissue that adheres firmly to the wound bed or ulcer edges and may be either firmer or softer than surrounding skin

3 – Slough: yellow or white tissue that adheres to the ulcer bed in strings or thick clumps, or is mucinous

2 – Granulation Tissue: pink or beefy red tissue with a shiny, moist, granular appearance

1 – Epithelial Tissue: for superficial ulcers, new pink or shiny tissue (skin) that grows in from the edges or as islands on the ulcer surface

0 – Closed/Resurfaced: the wound is completely covered with epithelium (new skin)

## Effect of Nutrition Supplements

**Systematic review: arginine enriched nutrition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>First author, year, country</th>
<th>Study design/duration</th>
<th>Setting</th>
<th>Patients’ nutritional status</th>
<th>PU category</th>
<th>Sample size (M/F)</th>
<th>Interventions</th>
<th>Control group (N)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Jadad scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cerada, 2015* Italy</td>
<td>Multicentre blinded RCT, 8 weeks</td>
<td>Long-term care and home care services</td>
<td>Malnourished</td>
<td>II, III, or IV</td>
<td>81.4±10.7</td>
<td>200 (63/137)</td>
<td>Macronutrients with 3.5g arginine (n=101)</td>
<td>Macronutrients without arginine (n=99)</td>
<td>PU area reduction, complete healing rate, wound infection rate, and dressings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wong, 2014* Singapore</td>
<td>RCT, 2 weeks</td>
<td>Acute care hospital</td>
<td>Malnourished and non-malnourished</td>
<td>II, III, or IV</td>
<td>77.4±4.9</td>
<td>23 (6/14)</td>
<td>Standard oral nutritional supplements with 3.5g arginine (n=11)</td>
<td>Standard oral nutritional supplements without arginine (n=12)</td>
<td>PU area, and PUSH score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leigh, 2017* Australia</td>
<td>RCT, 3 weeks</td>
<td>Acute inpatient rehabilitation services</td>
<td>Malnourished and well-nourished</td>
<td>II, III or IV</td>
<td>67.8±7.1</td>
<td>23 (11/13)</td>
<td>Standard hospital diet plus 5g arginine (n=12)</td>
<td>Standard hospital diet plus 3g arginine (n=11)</td>
<td>PUSH score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Anholt, 2010* Europe</td>
<td>Multicountry, double-blind RCT, 8 weeks</td>
<td>Healthcare centres, hospitals, and long-term care facilities</td>
<td>Malnourished</td>
<td>III or IV</td>
<td>74.6±3.6</td>
<td>43 (18/24)</td>
<td>Oral nutritional supplements with 3g arginine (n=22)</td>
<td>Oral nutritional supplements without arginine (n=21)</td>
<td>PU area, and PUSH score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerada, 2009* Italy</td>
<td>RCT, 12 weeks</td>
<td>Long-term care facility</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>II, III, or IV</td>
<td>81.7±0.6</td>
<td>28 (10/18)</td>
<td>High-protein formula with 0.85g arginine (n=15)</td>
<td>High-protein formula without arginine (n=15)</td>
<td>PUSH score, lesion area, nutritional variables, infection, occurrence, and hospitalisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desnevee, 2005* Australia</td>
<td>RCT, 3 weeks</td>
<td>Clinical setting</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>II, III, or IV</td>
<td>79.2±10.9</td>
<td>16 (10/6)</td>
<td>Standard diet plus 9g arginine (n=6)</td>
<td>Standard hospital diet or high-protein diet without arginine (n=11)</td>
<td>PUSH score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benati, 2007* Italy</td>
<td>RCT, 2 weeks</td>
<td>Clinical setting</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>PUs</td>
<td>72–91</td>
<td>16 (8/7)</td>
<td>Normal hospital diet plus 5.8g arginine (n=6)</td>
<td>Normal hospital diet or high-protein calorie solution without arginine (n=10)</td>
<td>PSST score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of Nutrition Supplements

Systematic review: arginine enriched nutrition

7 RCTs with 369 patients;
- 4 RCTs assessed healing by PU area reduction
  - 1 enrolled malnourished patients
  - 1 enrolled non-malnourished patients
  - 2 studies did not restrict the nutritional status of the patients

Results:
- All reporting arginine-enriched enteral nutrition resulted in a significant PUSH score improvement compared with control at follow-up.
- An RCT compared healing with two doses of arginine (4.5g versus 9g), but no difference was found between the doses.

Effect of Nutrition Supplements

Systematic review: arginine enriched nutrition

- Evidence showed that arginine-enriched enteral nutrition led to a significant improvement in PU healing

- It was effective not only in malnourished patients, but also in non-malnourished patients

Suggest that arginine-enriched nutrition should be used in patients with pressure ulcers

Effect of Nutrition Supplements

Conducted in different settings: hospital, long-term care/care homes and home care.
- 7 RCTs
- 4 CTs
- Pressure ulcer stages II, III or IV

Results:
Ten out of eleven studies showed a beneficial effect of the arginine-enriched oral nutritional supplementation on the healing of pressure ulcers.
# Effect of Nutrition Supplements

**Descriptive review: arginine enriched nutrition**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st Author</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Mean age (range)</th>
<th>PU-stage</th>
<th>Study type</th>
<th>Nutritional intervention serving/day, arginine/ONS</th>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Outcome measurement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benati [24]</td>
<td>2 wks</td>
<td>N = 16</td>
<td>(72-91)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>specific ONS®, 2x, 3.75g</td>
<td>high-protein formula or normal hospital diet</td>
<td>PSST score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frias Soriano [28]</td>
<td>3 wks</td>
<td>N = 39</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>III-IV</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>specific ONS®, 2-3x, 3g</td>
<td>no ONS</td>
<td>complete healing/ PU area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desneves [30]</td>
<td>3 wks</td>
<td>N = 16</td>
<td>73(37-92)</td>
<td>II-III-IV</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>specific ONS® 2, 2x, 9g</td>
<td>standard hospital diet or standard + protein-enriched ONS</td>
<td>PUSH score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heyman [29]</td>
<td>9 wks</td>
<td>N = 245</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>II-III-IV</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>specific ONS®, 1-3x, 3g</td>
<td>no ONS</td>
<td>complete healing/ PU area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereda [25]</td>
<td>12 wks</td>
<td>N = 10</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>II-III-IV</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>specific ONS®, 2x, 6g</td>
<td>standard hospital diet</td>
<td>PU area/PUSH score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brewer [32]</td>
<td>until healed</td>
<td>N = 35*</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>II-III-IV</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>specific ONS®, 2x, 9g</td>
<td>no ONS (historical control)</td>
<td>healing rate/ time for closure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>van Anholt [27]</td>
<td>8 wks</td>
<td>N = 43*</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>III-IV</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>specific ONS®, 1-3x, 3g</td>
<td>non-caloric control ONS</td>
<td>PU area/PUSH score, nursing time, number of dressings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chapman [33]</td>
<td>until healed</td>
<td>N = 34</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>II-III-IV</td>
<td>CT</td>
<td>specific ONS®, 2x, 4.5g</td>
<td>ceased consuming specific ONS</td>
<td>PUSH score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leigh [31]</td>
<td>3 wks</td>
<td>N = 23*</td>
<td>(31-92)</td>
<td>II-III-IV</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>specific ONS®1, 1x, 4.5g</td>
<td>standard hospital diet (historical control)</td>
<td>PU area/PUSH score/healing rate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wong [34]</td>
<td>2 wks</td>
<td>N = 23</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>II-III-IV</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>specific ONS®2, 1x, 9.0g</td>
<td>standard nutritional care</td>
<td>PU area/PUSH score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cereda [1261]</td>
<td>8 wks</td>
<td>N = 200*</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>II-III-IV</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>specific ONS®, 2x, 6g</td>
<td>isocaloric, isonitrogenous ONS</td>
<td>complete healing/ PU area</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of Nutrition Supplements

The OligoElement Sore Trial

Patients
- Malnourished
- 200 patients
- II, III, or IV pressure ulcers

Intervention
- 400ml/d x 8 weeks
- Energy dense, protein rich
- Zinc & Arginine

## Effect of Nutrition Supplements

### The OligoElement Sore Trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Both groups</th>
<th>Intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2 bottles per day</td>
<td>Zinc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 kcals</td>
<td>Vitamin E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40g protein</td>
<td>Vitamin C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Effect of Nutrition Supplements

### The OligoElement Sore Trial

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>END POINTS</th>
<th>Experimental Group (n=101)</th>
<th>Control Group (N=99)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean reduction in PU area at 8 wk, %</td>
<td>60.9 (54.3 to 67.5)</td>
<td>45.2 (38.4 to 52.0)</td>
<td>0.026*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Secondary</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥40% reduction in PU area at 8wk, %</td>
<td>69.9 (59.5 to 79.9)</td>
<td>54.1 (42.7 to 65.5)</td>
<td>0.020*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete Healing, %</td>
<td>16.9 (8.2 to 25.6)</td>
<td>9.7 (2.1 to 17.3)</td>
<td>0.100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean reduction in PU area at 4 wk, %</td>
<td>37.2 (28.7 to 45.8)</td>
<td>29.3 (21.9 to 36.7)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wound Infections, %</td>
<td>22.6 (11.8 to 33.2)</td>
<td>21.6 (12.0 to 31.1)</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean dressings, n</td>
<td>34 (30 to 80)</td>
<td>37 (34 to 41)</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect of Nutrition Supplements

The OligoElement Sore Trial

RESULTS:

- Supplementation with the enriched formula (n = 101) resulted in a greater reduction in PU area (mean reduction, 60.9% [95% CI, 54.3% to 67.5%]) than with the control formula (n = 99) (45.2% [CI, 38.4% to 52.0%]) (adjusted mean difference, 18.7% [CI, 5.7% to 31.8%])

- The secondary outcome saw a 40% or greater reduction in pressure ulcer size in the experimental group compared to control group that was significant

- No difference was found in other secondary end points. The level of significance was set at the 2-tailed P value less than 0.05.

Overall treatment was effective in improving pressure ulcer healing

Effect of Nutrition Supplements

The OligoElement Sore Trial

Summary

• Studies suggest the efficacy of nutrients in wound healing is likely synergistic as there is lack of evidence supporting an independent effect when the supplemented nutrients are given alone.

• Intervention studies have led to the recommendation of supplements enriched with protein, arginine, and micronutrients for stage III and IV when traditional nutrition therapy does not meet nutrient requirements.
Supplementation seems to be associated with a significant reduction in pressure ulcer development, compared to routine care.

Oral nutrition supplementation is valuable because many pressure-ulcer-prone patients often cannot meet their nutritional requirements via normal food intake.

Offering a high-protein mixed oral nutritional supplement in addition to the usual diet to individuals with nutritional risk and pressure ulcer risk can be beneficial.

https://www.surveymonkey.com/r/WoundCE
QUESTIONS?